

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,681 06/25/2003		06/25/2003	Masahiko Maruhashi	K2580.0101	1356	
32172	7590	12/06/2004		EXAMINER		
		IRO MORIN &	HSIEH, SHIH YUNG			
41 ST FL.	NUE OF 11	HE AMERICAS	(6TH AVENUE)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR	K, NY 1	0036-2714		2837	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				DATE MAILED: 12/06/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					\sim
		Α	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			10/602,681	MARUHASHI ET	AL.
			xaminer	Art Unit	
			hih-yung Hsieh	2837	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appea	rs on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	Idress
THE MA - Extensing after SI - If the period of the period	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ons of time may be available under the provision X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comeriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum storeply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. 30) days, a reply wit tatutory period will a y will, by statute, car). In no event, however, may a hin the statutory minimum of th pply and will expire SIX (6) MC use the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
Status					
1)□ R	esponsive to communication(s) fil	ed on			
			tion is non-final.		
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition	,		tters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
	losed in accordance with the pract				
Disposition	n of Claims				
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)⊠ C	claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the a) Of the above claim(s) is/a claim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) <u>1-6,10-18,20 and 22-40</u> is/alaim(s) <u>7-9,19 and 21</u> is/are object laim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn are rejected. ted to.			
Application	n Papers				
	ne specification is objected to by the				
	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are				
	pplicant may not request that any object			' '	
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including ne oath or declaration is objected t				• •
	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	documents had documents had of the priority onal Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in a documents have been PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)				
	of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Informat	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date			(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)

Art Unit: 2837

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-2, 10-13, 17-18, 20, 22-23, 25-30, and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suenaga (6,525,249).

Regarding claim 1, Suenaga discloses a percussion pad comprising a surface (11), an accumulator (22) made of resilient material (col. 4, lines 42-43), connected in series to said surface (Fig. 4) so as to be locally deformed at each beat, a base (21) made of a material smaller in resiliency than said accumulator (col. 4, line 38), provided on the opposite side of said accumulator from said surface and held ion contact with said accumulator (Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 2, Suenaga discloses the claimed invention (Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 10, Suenaga discloses an accumulator formed by an array of pillars (43) projecting from a solid portion (42) made of resilient material and the surface (11) at the tips of said pillars form in combination said surface to be beaten by said player (Fig. 5).

Regarding claims 11-13, and 17, Suenaga discloses the claimed invention. See above.

Regarding claim 18, Suenaga discloses tessing (53) he claimed invention. The claimed another array can be interpreted as portion of the array shown in Figs. 2 and 5 since the claims is silent about the difference about the array of pillars.

Regarding claims 20 and 28, Suenaga discloses the features as addressed in claim 1, including a supporting structure (10) for keeping said percussion pad in an attitude convenient to be beaten by said player; and a coupling device (12) connected between said percussion pad and said supporting structure (Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 22, Suenaga discloses a plurality of supporter brackets (20).

Regarding claim 23, Suenaga discloses a supporter and cushion blocks (50).

Regarding claim 25, Suenaga discloses the claimed invention (Figs. 3 and 4).

Regarding claims 26-27, and 29-30, Suenaga discloses the claimed invention (the sensors 51 and 52).

Regarding claim 37, Suenaga discloses the features as addressed in claims 1, 20, and 28, sensors addressed in claims 26-27, and 29-30, including an electronic sound generator (54) connected to said at least one vibratory sensor, carrying out a data processing (53) on pieces of data information on said electric signal for producing an audio signal (58) and converting said audio signal to an electronic percussion sound (Fig. 6).

Regarding claims 38-40, see above statement addressing claims 26-27, and 29-30.

Art Unit: 2837

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

4. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suenaga in view of Duncan et al. (4,852,443).

Regarding claim 3, Suenaga discloses the claimed invention except that said accumulator is formed by an array of pillars projecting from said reverse surface of said solid portion toward said base.

Duncan et al. teach an accumulator (1) formed by an array of pillars (1') projecting from a reverse surface of said solid portion (Fig. 1 shows a solid portion under cover C) toward a base (3 can be interpreted as a base) for providing responsive to touch and variable forces applied over a two-dimensional surface. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Suenaga's pad as taught by Duncan et al. to include said accumulator formed by an array of pillars projecting from said reverse surface of said solid portion toward said base for the purpose of providing responsive to touch and variable forces applied over a two-dimensional surface.

Regarding claims 4-6, Suenaga discloses the claimed invention (Fig. 5, and col. 4, lines 42-43).

Art Unit: 2837

5. Claims 14-16, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suenaga in view of Belli et al. (4,589,323).

Regarding claims 14-15, and 24, Suenaga discloses the claimed invention except that a supporter formed by a protection sheet covering a reverse surface of said base reverse to an obverse surface held in contact with said accumulator and secured to said accumulator.

Belli et al. teach a supporter (13 in Fig. 3) formed by a protection sheet (col. 2, line 40) covering a reverse surface of a base (15) reverse to an obverse surface held in contact with an accumulator (14) and secured to said accumulator (Fig. 2) for supporting the base (col. 2, lines 42-46). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Suenaga's pad as taught by Belli et al. to include a supporter formed by a protection sheet covering a reverse surface of said base reverse to an obverse surface held in contact with said accumulator and secured to said accumulator for the purpose of supporting the base.

Regarding claim 16, Suenaga discloses the claimed invention except that said protection sheet is formed with an air-vent allowing air to pass through when said accumulator is deformed.

Belli et al. teach a protection sheet formed with an air-vent (50) allowing air to pass through when said accumulator is deformed for producing different sound modifications. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Suenaga's pad as taught by Belli et al. to include said protection sheet being

Art Unit: 2837

formed with an air-vent allowing air to pass through when said accumulator is deformed for the purpose of producing different sound modifications.

6. Claims 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suenaga in view of Klynas (4,479,412).

Regarding claims 31-36, Suenaga discloses the claimed invention except to apply the invention to a plurality of drums or a drum set.

Klynas teaches a plurality of electronic drums (40-16) having the same features for synthesizing the sounds of percussion instruments (col. 1, lines 10-13). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Suenaga's instrument as taught by Klynas to include the application of the invention to a plurality of drums or a drum set for the purpose of synthesizing the sounds of percussion instruments.

Further, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include applying the features to a plurality of drums or a drum set, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. Regis Paper Co. v. Remis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

7. Claims 7-9, 19, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2837

8. The claims are allowable over the prior art for at least the reason that the prior art fails to reasonably teach or suggest in claim 7 that said array of pillars has large sized pillars and small size pillars, in claim 8 that said array contains the pillars arranged at a high density and other pillars arranged at a low density, in claim 9 that a chshion layer made of said resilient material and having an obverse surface bonded to said accumulator and a reverse surface bonded to said base, in claim 19 that another base smaller in resiliency than said array of pillars and provided between said array of pillars and said solid portion, and in claim 21 that said percussion pad further includes a rigid portion coupled to said supporting structure, and a resilient portion provided between said solid portion and said rigid portion as set forth in the claimed combination.

Page 7

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shih-yung Hsieh whose telephone number is 571-272-2065. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

syh

SHIH-YUNG HSEH PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8